UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 SEP -8 PM 1:51

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY RM DEPUTY

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☑ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Adler
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 9/4/2008
CASE NO.: 08cv1118 L (JMA) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner
CASE TITLE: Throop v. Small
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Petitioner's Renewed Motion for Enlargement of Time...

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

✓	*Local Rule*	*Discrepancy*
X	5.1	**Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation**
☐	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
☐	**5.4**	**Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.**
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
X	7.1 or 47.1	**Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document**
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
☐	7.1	Missing table of contents
☐	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
☐	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
☐		Supplemental documents require court order
☐		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
☒		OTHER: Motion previously filed and Granted. Petitioner shall file First Amended Petition not later than Oct. 17, 2008 without further leave of Court.

Date forwarded: 9/5/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Adler

Dated: 9/8/08 By: HAH
cc: All Parties

K:\COMMON\FORMS\CIVCRM35.WPD
Form # Civ/Crim 35 (4/98) -- [Docuument Discrepancy / Court Order]

E. Anthony Throop H31268
P.O. Box 1906 4B 3B 206
Tehachapi, CA 93581
In pro se

REJECTED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD ANTHONY THROOP,

Petitioner,

v

LARRY SMALL,

Respondent.

Case No. 08-CV-01118 L (JMA)

PETITIONER'S RENEWED MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME AND NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS WITH DECLARATION IN SUPPORT

Comes now, E. Anthony Throop in pro se (petitioner) to renew his request for an enlargement of time to comply with this courts order to dismiss his petition without prejudice. On or about 7-30-08 petitioner notified this court that he was being transferred from Calipatria to a Security Housing Unit [now confirmed to be Tehachapi] and that all of his legal papers would be inaccessible for up to two (2) months after he appears before the initial SHU Classification committee.

To date, petitioner has not received confirmation from Tehachapis prison officials about the location of his legal property, and his repeated advisements to the property room and law library officers about his dead line have not been acknowledged. Therefore, he renews his request because that due date is imminent, and he has not yet received a response from the court to his first Motion for a 45 day enlargement.

Furthermore, petitioner requests that the clerk take notice of the new address above.

Respectfully submitted,

E. Anthony Throop
In pro per

Dated: 8-26-8